Superior Court of California, County of Mariposa

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Information Guide

Adapted from the Administrative Office of the Courts' publication: "Alternative Dispute Resolution, *Options for Resolving Your Dispute*"

ADR INFORMATION GUIDE

There Are Alternatives to Going to Trial

Did you know that 95 percent of all civil cases filed in court were resolved without going to trial? Many people use processes other than trial to resolve their disputes. These alternative processes, known as Alternative Dispute Resolution or ADR, are typically less formal and adversarial than trial, and many use a problem-solving approach to help the parties reach agreement. Because of these potential advantages, it is worth considering using ADR early in a lawsuit, or even before you file a lawsuit.

Potential Advantage of ADR

Here are some potential advantages of using ADR:

- 1) Saves Time: A dispute often can be settled or resolved much sooner with ADR.
- 2) Saves Money: When cases are resolved earlier through ADR, the parties may save some of the money they would have spent on attorney fees, court costs, and experts' fees.
- 3) Increases Control Over the Process and the Outcome: In ADR, parties typically play a greater role in shaping both the process and its outcome. In most ADR processes, parties have the opportunity to tell their side of the story as they do at trial. Some ADR processes, such as mediation, allow the parties to fashion creative resolutions that are not available in a trial. Other ADR Processes, such as arbitration, allow the parties to choose a qualified person or expert in a particular field to decide the dispute.
- 4) Preserves Ongoing Relationships: ADR can be a less adversarial way to resolve a dispute. For example, an experienced mediator can help the parties effectively communicate their needs and point of view to the other side. This can be an important advantage where the parties have a relationship to preserve such as in cases involving a business partner, family member or customer-vendor relationship.
- 5) Increases Satisfaction: In trial, there is typically a winner and a loser. The loser is not likely to be happy, and in some cases the winner may not be completely satisfied with the outcome. ADR can help the parties find win-win solutions and achieve their respective goals. This, along with other potential advantages of ADR, may increase the parties' overall satisfaction with both the dispute resolution process and the outcome.
- 6) **Fosters Attorney-Client Relationships**: Parties and Attorneys may also benefit from ADR by exploring their roles as problem-solvers and counselors rather than merely acting as adversaries. Quick, cost-effective, and satisfying resolutions are likely to produce happier parties and stronger relationships with their attorneys.

What Are the ADR Options?

The most commonly used ADR processes are Mediation, Arbitration, Neutral Case Evaluation, and Settlement Conferences. Each of these ADR processes is described below.

> Mediation

In mediation, an impartial person called a "mediator" helps the parties try to reach a mutually acceptable resolution of the dispute. The mediator does not decide the dispute but helps the parties communicate so they can settle the dispute themselves. Mediation leaves control of the outcome with the parties.

Mediation may be particularly useful when parties have a relationship they want to preserve. So when family members, neighbors, or business partners have a dispute, mediation may be the ADR process to use. Mediation is also effective when emotions are getting in the way of resolution. An effective mediator can listen to the parties and help them communicate in an effective and nondestructive manner.

> Arbitration

In arbitration, a neutral person called an "arbitrator" hears arguments and evidence from each side and then decides the outcome of the dispute. Arbitration is typically less formal than a trial, and the rules of evidence may be relaxed.

Arbitration may be either "binding" or "non-binding." Binding arbitration means that the parties waive their right to a trial and agree to accept the arbitrator's decision as final. Generally, there is no right to appeal an arbitrator's decision. Non-binding arbitration means that the parties are free to request a trial if they do not accept the arbitrator's decision. Arbitrations may also be used to set maximum and minimum awards, known as high-low arbitrations. This allows the plaintiff to have a guaranteed minimum recovery and defendant to rely on a guaranteed absolute maximum exposure, regardless of how the arbitration unfolds.

Cases for Which Arbitration May be Appropriate: Arbitration is best for cases where the parties want another person to decide the outcome of their dispute for them but would like to avoid the formality, time, and expense of a trial. It may also be appropriate for complex matters where the parties want a decision-maker who has training or experience in the subject matter of the dispute.

Neutral Case Evaluation

In Neutral Case Evaluation, each party gets a chance to present the case to a neutral person called an "evaluator." The evaluator then gives an opinion on the strengths and weaknesses of each party's evidence and arguments and about how the dispute could be resolved. The evaluator is often an expert in the subject matter of the dispute. Although the evaluator's opinion is not binding, the parties typically use it as a base for trying to negotiate a resolution of the dispute. Even if not successful in resolving the case, Neutral Case Evaluation can lead to use of other ADR procedures, such as arbitration or mediation, especially when undertaken early in the litigation.

Cases for Which Neutral Case Evaluation May Be Appropriate: Neutral Case Evaluation is appropriate for most cases, and may be most useful in cases that involve technical issues that require special expertise to resolve or in cases that the only significant issue is the amount of damages.

> Settlement Conferences

Settlement Conferences may be either mandatory or voluntary. In both types of Settlement Conferences, the parties and their attorneys meet with a judge or a neutral person called a "settlement officer" to discuss possible settlement of their dispute. The judge or settlement officer does not make a decision in the case but assists the parties in evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of the case and in negotiating a settlement. Settlement Conferences are appropriate in any case where settlement is an option. Mandatory Settlement Conferences are often held close to the date a case is set for trial.

Serving the ADR Information Packet

The ADR Information Packet, which is provided to all plaintiffs initiating a lawsuit, consists of:

1) The ADR Information Guide, and 2) The ADR Stipulation Form. The Plaintiff must serve a copy of the ADR Packet on each defendant with the complaint. Cross-complainants must serve a copy of the ADR Packet on all new Cross-defendants with the cross-complaint.

	R PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, Sta	nce bar Number, & Address)	FOR COURT USE ONLY
TELEPHONE NI ATTORNEY FOI	UMBER: R (Name):	FAX NO.:	
SUP	ERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,	COUNTY OF MARIPOSA	
	5088 Bullion Stre P.O. Box 28	eet	
	Mariposa, CA 95	338	
PLAINTIFF/PI	ETITIONER:		<u> </u>
DEFENDANT	RESPONDENT:		
STIPULATION	N REGARDING ALTERNATIVE DIS	SPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR)	CASE NUMBER:
The parties stipula	ate that they will engage in the following	Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADD)	
[] Mediation	[] Arbitration [] Neutral Case Ev		process:
The parties further	stipulate that		
Address:			
City, State, Zip			
Phone Number:			
Phone Number:	outodes that the same to the		
- The parties ackno Dispute Resolutio	owledge that they shall engage in so on (ADR) must be completed within	ome form of Alternative Dispute Re	solution (ADR). The Alternative
- The parties ackno Dispute Resolutio	owledge that they shall engage in so on (ADR) must be completed within ment Conference, whichever is earl	ome form of Alternative Dispute Re 180 days after the Case Managem ier, unless given prior approval by	solution (ADR). The Alternative lent Conference or prior to the the court to continue the date.
The parties acknown Dispute Resolution Mandatory Settle Parties will be recondered to the condensation of the condensation of the condensation of the Mandatory Section of the Condensation of the Condensatio	ment Conference, whichever is earl quired to file an Alternative Dispute ettlement Conference. Failure to do	ier, unless given prior approval by	ent Conference or prior to the the court to continue the date.
The parties acknowledge of the parties will be reconstructed by the mandatory Settle parties will be reconstructed by the mandatory Settles aring set by the parties will be reconstructed by the mandatory Settles aring set by the parties are parti	ment Conference, whichever is early uired to file an Alternative Dispute ettlement Conference. Failure to do e court.	ier, unless given prior approval by Resolution (ADR) Status Repor	t at least 10 court days prior to should be reduced to the date. t at least 10 court days prior to reder to Show Cause (OSC)
The parties acknowledge of the parties will be recorded to the parties will be recorded to the mandatory Service of the parties set by the parties acknowledge of the parties acknowled	ment Conference, whichever is earl quired to file an Alternative Dispute ettlement Conference. Failure to do	ier, unless given prior approval by Resolution (ADR) Status Repor	ent Conference or prior to the the court to continue the date.
The parties acknown is pute Resolution in the control in the contr	ment Conference, whichever is early uired to file an Alternative Dispute ettlement Conference. Failure to do e court.	ier, unless given prior approval by Resolution (ADR) Status Reports so may result in sanctions at an O	ient Conference or prior to the the court to continue the date. t at least 10 court days prior to rder to Show Cause (OSC)
The parties acknown is pute Resolution Mandatory Settle Parties will be recome Mandatory Seering set by the	ment Conference, whichever is earl quired to file an Alternative Dispute ettlement Conference. Failure to do e court.	ier, unless given prior approval by Resolution (ADR) Status Reports so may result in sanctions at an O	t at least 10 court days prior to should be reduced to the date. t at least 10 court days prior to reder to Show Cause (OSC)
The parties acknown is pute Resolution Mandatory Settle Parties will be recome Mandatory See earing set by the late	ment Conference, whichever is earl quired to file an Alternative Dispute ettlement Conference. Failure to do e court.	Resolution (ADR) Status Report so may result in sanctions at an O	the court to continue the date. the court to continue the date. the court days prior to refer to Show Cause (OSC) The court days prior to refer to Show Cause (OSC)
The parties acknown of the parties will be reconstructed by the parties will be reconstructed by the parties are set by the parties.	ment Conference, whichever is early uired to file an Alternative Dispute ettlement Conference. Failure to do e court. Type or Print Name	Resolution (ADR) Status Report so may result in sanctions at an O	ient Conference or prior to the the court to continue the date. t at least 10 court days prior to rder to Show Cause (OSC)
The parties acknown of the parties will be recommended by the parties will be recommen	ment Conference, whichever is early uired to file an Alternative Dispute ettlement Conference. Failure to do e court. Type or Print Name	Resolution (ADR) Status Report so may result in sanctions at an O Signature of Par	the court to continue the date. the court to continue the date. the court days prior to refer to Show Cause (OSC) Try or Attorney for Party ty or Attorney for Party
The parties acknobispute Resolution Mandatory Settle Parties will be reconstructed by the Mandatory Settle Mandatory Man	ment Conference, whichever is early uired to file an Alternative Dispute ettlement Conference. Failure to do e court. Type or Print Name Type or Print Name	Resolution (ADR) Status Report so may result in sanctions at an O Signature of Par	the court to continue the date. the court to continue the date. the court days prior to refer to Show Cause (OSC) The court days prior to refer to Show Cause (OSC)
The parties acknobispute Resolution Mandatory Settle Parties will be reconstructed by the Mandatory will be reconstructed by the Man	ment Conference, whichever is early uired to file an Alternative Dispute ettlement Conference. Failure to do e court. Type or Print Name Type or Print Name	Signature of Par	t at least 10 court days prior to the the court to continue the date. t at least 10 court days prior to rder to Show Cause (OSC) Ty or Attorney for Party ty or Attorney for Party
The parties acknobispute Resolution Mandatory Settle Parties will be reconstructed by the Parties will be reconstructed by the Mandatory Settle Parties will be reconstructed by the Parties will be reco	ment Conference, whichever is early uired to file an Alternative Dispute ettlement Conference. Failure to do e court. Type or Print Name Type or Print Name	Signature of Par	the court to continue the date. the court to continue the date. the court days prior to refer to Show Cause (OSC) Try or Attorney for Party ty or Attorney for Party
The parties acknobispute Resolution Mandatory Settle Parties will be reconstructed by the Parties will be reconstructed by the Mandatory Settle Parties will be reconstructed by the Mandatory Settle Parties will be reconstructed by the Mandatory Settle Parties will be reconstructed by the Parties will b	ment Conference, whichever is early uired to file an Alternative Dispute ettlement Conference. Failure to do e court. Type or Print Name Type or Print Name	Signature of Par	t at least 10 court days prior to rder to Show Cause (OSC) Ty or Attorney for Party Ty or Attorney for Party Ty or Attorney for Party
The parties acknobispute Resolution Mandatory Settle Parties will be reconstructed by the Parties will be reconstructed by the Mandatory Settle Parties will be reconstructed by the Parties wil	ment Conference, whichever is early uired to file an Alternative Dispute ettlement Conference. Failure to do e court. Type or Print Name Type or Print Name Type or Print Name	Signature of Par	t at least 10 court days prior to the the court to continue the date. t at least 10 court days prior to rder to Show Cause (OSC) Ty or Attorney for Party ty or Attorney for Party
The parties acknown of the parties will be reconstructed by the mandatory Settle parties will be reconstructed by the mearing set by the meaning s	ment Conference, whichever is early uired to file an Alternative Dispute ettlement Conference. Failure to do e court. Type or Print Name Type or Print Name Type or Print Name	Signature of Par Signature of Par	t at least 10 court days prior to rder to Show Cause (OSC) Ty or Attorney for Party Ty or Attorney for Party Ty or Attorney for Party

Case Name:	
	Case Number
	Case Mulliper

STIPULATION REGARDING ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) ATTACHMENT

	<u> </u>		
Date	Type or Print Name	Signature of Party or Attorney for Party	
Date	Type or Print Name	Signature of Party or Attorney for Party	
Date	Type or Print Name	Signature of Party or Attorney for Party	
Date	Type or Print Name	Signature of Party or Attorney for Party	
Date	Type or Print Name	Signature of Party or Attorney for Party	
<u> </u>	in the state of th		
Date	Type or Print Name	Signature of Party or Attorney for Party	
Date	Type or Print Name	Signature of Party or Attorney for Party	
Date	Type or Print Name	Signature of Party or Attorney for Party	

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WIT	HOUT ATTORNEY (Name, Sta	ate Bar Number, & Address)	FOR COURT USE ONLY
TELEPHONE NUMBER: ATTORNEY FOR (Name):		FAX NO.:	
SUPERIOR CO	DURT OF CALIFORNIA 5088 Bullion Str P.O. Box 28 Mariposa, CA 95		
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:			
DEFENDANT/RESPONDI	ENT:		
ALTERNATIVE	DISPUTE RESOLUTIO	N STATUS REPORT (ADR)	CASE NUMBER:
		sonal Injury – Property Damage []	Contract [] Other
Date Complaint Filed:			
Amount in controversy:		20 to \$400 000	in a sit A
[]\$0 to \$25,000 []\$25,0			specify)
Date of Alternative Dispute I	Resolution (ADR) Conferen	ce:	
Name, address, and telepho	one number of person who	conducted the Alternative Dispute Reso	olution (ADR) Conference:
		\$ - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
Case resolved by Alterr [] Yes (proper filing of a N		on: nissal form is required by Clerk's Office)
[] No Reason:			
Alternative Dispute Res	olution process conclu	ıded:	
[] Yes	2.7		
[] No Reason for delay: Next scheduled he	aring date:	at	a.m./p.m.
Type of resolution proc	ess used:	Evaluation [] Other	
Case was resolved by:			
	ocess [] Indirect Result	of ADR Process [] Resolution was	s unrelated to ADR Process
fees by participating in the p	rocess.	losest dollar amount that was saved in More than \$1,000 (specify)	•
Check the closest estimated case being referred to this d		saved in motions, hearings, conferenc	es, trials, etc. as a direct result of this
[] 0 Days	[] 1 Day	[] More than 1 day (specify)	
If the dispute resolution procourt days:	cess caused an increase in	court time for this case, please check	the estimated number of additional
[] 0 Days	[] 1 Day	[] More than 1 day (specify)	

Case name:		Case number:
I would be willing to use the dispute resolution pro	ocess again:	
[] Yes	[] No	
Please provide any additional comments below re	egarding your experience with the ADR process:	
		The second secon
Plaintiff(s):	Defendant(s):	
Date:	Date:	
Signature of Party or Attorney for Party	Signature of Party or Attorney for F	Party
Type or Print Name	Type or Print Name	
Date:	Date:	
Signature of Party or Attorney for Party	Signature of Party or Attorney for F	Party
Type or Print Name	Type or Print Name	
Date:	Date:	
Signature of Party or Attorney for Party	Signature of Party or Attorney for I	Party
Type or Print Name	Type or Print Name	CONTROL AND STATE OF CONTROL OF STATE OF CONTROL OF CON